

# Utilizing Memory Content Similarity for Improving the Performance of Replicated Virtual Machines

Balazs Gerofi, Zoltan Vass and Yutaka Ishikawa  
*Graduate School of Information Science and Technology*  
 The University of Tokyo  
 Tokyo, JAPAN  
 {bgerofi@il.is, zvass@il.is, ishikawa@is}.s.u-tokyo.ac.jp

**Abstract**—Checkpoint-recovery based Virtual Machine (VM) replication is an emerging approach towards accommodating VM installations with high availability. However, it comes with the price of significant performance degradation of the application executed in the VM due to the large amount of state that needs to be synchronized between the primary and the backup machines. It is therefore critical to find new ways for attaining good performance, and at the same time, maintaining fault tolerant execution. In this paper, we present a novel approach to improve the performance of services deployed over replicated virtual machines by exploiting data similarity within the VM's memory image to reduce the network traffic during synchronization. For identifying similar memory areas, we propose a *bit density based hash function*, upon which, we build a content addressable hash table. We present a *quantitative analysis on the degree of similarity* we found in various workloads, and introduce a *lightweight compression method*, which, compared to existing replication techniques, *reduces network traffic by up to 80%* and yields a *performance improvement over 90%* for certain latency sensitive applications.

## I. INTRODUCTION

With the recent increase in cloud computing's prevalence, the number of online services deployed over virtualized infrastructures has experienced a tremendous growth. At the same time, however, the latest hardware trend of growing component number in current computing systems renders hardware failures common place rather than exceptional [1]. Replication at the Virtual Machine Monitor (VMM) layer is an attractive technique to ensure fault tolerance in such environments, primarily, because it provides seamless failover for the entire software stack executed inside the Virtual Machine (VM), regardless the application or the underlying operating system. One particular approach, checkpoint-recovery based VM replication, has gained a lot of attention recently [2], [3], [4], [5].

Checkpoint-recovery based replication of virtual machines is attained by capturing the entire execution state of the running VM at relatively high frequency in order to propagate changes to the backup machine almost instantly. Essentially, it keeps the backup machine nearly up-to-date with the latest execution state of the primary machine so that the backup can take over the execution in case the primary fails [2].

Between checkpoints the VM executes in log-dirty mode, i.e., write accessed pages are recorded so that when the snapshot is taken only pages that were modified in the most

recent execution phase need to be transferred. One phase of dirty logging and transferring the corresponding changes is often called a *replication epoch* [2], [4], [5]. In order to reduce the overhead of transferring dirty pages, replication data can be transferred asynchronously, overlapping the VM's execution in the subsequent epoch.

However, any fault tolerant system needs to ensure that the state from which an output message is sent will be recovered despite any future failure, which is commonly referred to as the *output commit* problem [6]. As a consequence of such requirement, during the execution phase of each epoch, output of the running VM needs to be held back, i.e., disk I/O and network traffic have to be buffered and can be released only after the backup machine acknowledged the corresponding update.

With workloads that touch memory rapidly, the time required to propagate changes at the end of an epoch may exceed the replication period itself, leading to substantial overhead, and causing significant performance degradation (over 2X slowdown) to the application, even if dirty content is transferred asynchronously [2]. This anomaly becomes rather severe in case the application is latency sensitive, such as several online services [7].

Various recent papers have explored the phenomena of content redundancy. *VMware ESX Server* [8] and *Satori* [9] eliminates identical pages shared among and within VMs' memory content for better physical memory utilization. Koller et al. [10] proposed I/O deduplication, a mechanism that utilizes content redundancy for improving I/O performance. All these studies suggest that there is a significant degree of content self-similarity in nowadays' complex workloads.

In this paper we investigate how to utilize such similarities to improve the efficiency of virtual machine replication, and thus, the performance of services being executed inside the replicated VM. We make the following contributions:

- A *quantitative analysis of several workloads regarding the degree of self-similarity* within their memory content is presented.
- Taking advantage of such redundancy we propose a *lightweight compression method* which, instead of transferring the actual dirty pages, finds similar areas in the memory content corresponding to the VM's previous replication epoch and transfers a compressed difference.

Having the backup VM waiting a replication epoch behind the primary enables us to simply apply the difference and bring its memory content to the latest replication state.

- For identifying similar memory areas, we propose a *bit density based hash function*, upon which, we build a content addressable hash table.
- Finally, *we eliminate the VM downtime at the data transfer phase of each replication epoch by having the virtual machine executed in copy-on-write mode until the compression is finished.*

Our mechanism reduces the amount of data transferred during replication by up to 80% and improves the performance of certain latency sensitive applications over 90% as opposed to the regular asynchronous replication.

We begin with characterizing various workloads in terms of memory content self-similarity in Section II. Section III describes the design of our proposed replication method and Section IV provides details on the implementation. Experimental evaluation is given in Section V. Section VI surveys related work, and finally, Section VII presents future plans and concludes the paper.

## II. BACKGROUND AND CONTENT SIMILARITY ANALYSIS

In this section we present the motivation and rationale behind this study. We start with describing each workload we investigated, which is then followed by a quantitative analysis regarding the degree of content self-similarity they exhibit.

### A. Workloads

We consider three different online services, paying special attention to the following properties. First, each workload should be a good candidate for deployment over virtualized infrastructures (i.e., in the cloud). Second, for each, high availability should be naturally expected. Moreover, to provide good variety at the OS level, we deploy two of them over Ubuntu Linux and one on Windows Server 2003.

- **SPECweb 2005 Banking** emulates an Internet personal banking web-site, where clients are accessing their accounts, making transactions, etc. Requests are transmitted over SSL throughout the whole benchmark [7].
- **SPECweb 2005 E-commerce** resembles the workload characteristics of an online store. Customers are browsing, customizing and purchasing products. Both SSL and plain HTTP are utilized [7].
- **Exchange Load Generator** is a benchmark utility that stresses Microsoft’s Exchange Server. It simulates a scenario where multiple users read and send messages, browse their calendars, request meetings, etc [11].

### B. Analysis

As mentioned earlier checkpoint-recovery based replication of virtual machines is delivered by capturing snapshots of the running VM at relatively high frequency so that changes can be reflected to the backup machine almost instantly.




Fig. 1: Average ratio of overlapping bytes between the non-zero dirty memory areas and their most similar matches in the non-dirty memory region or in the *recently dirtied pages* cache according to comparison unit size.

Between subsequent snapshots, write accessed memory pages are logged to narrow the image necessary to transfer at the end of each epoch. Previous studies suggested that the memory content of nowadays’ complex workloads may exhibit a rather high degree of self-similarity. We were curious to see to what extent the content of dirty pages could be expressed with help of the content from the previous epoch. In order to retain access to most of the previous epoch’s memory content, we maintain a small cache of the *recently dirtied pages* (RDP). The cache consists of 5120 pages and it is updated at the end of each epoch replacing pages in a least recently used (LRU) fashion.

We analyzed the similarity attributes of each workload by performing an extensive search over the non-dirty memory region and the RDP cache and identified the best match for every non-zero dirty area. Such comparison were carried out in every 100 milliseconds for a 10 minutes execution of each workload. To speed up the search, we utilized our content addressable hash table, searching through all entries in the corresponding hash buckets. For detailed information of the content addressable hash table refer to Section III.

We collected statistics of the average percentage of overlapping bytes between each non-zero dirty area and its best match in the content hash. We were also wondering how the unit size of the search may affect such property and used 2kB, 1kB, 512B, 256B, 128B and 64B as comparison granularity.

Figure 1 indicates the results obtained for each workload. Looking at the numbers of SPECweb2005’s Banking workload, the figure shows that the degree of similarity scales from 77% up to nearly 87% with shrinking the comparison granularity from 2kB gradually down to 64 bytes. The E-commerce workload shows less improvements with the comparison granularity change and grows approximately 6% from the initial 79%. Among all workloads, however, the Windows Server based Exchange Server proved to have the highest degree of self-similarity, scaling from 79% up to almost 88%.




Fig. 2: Utilizing content similarity in VM replication.

As seen, for all workloads there is an apparent increase in the degree of similarity with the decreasing unit size of the memory comparisons. While a finer grained comparison granularity clearly leads to higher compression ratio, it also introduces additional overhead to the compression mechanism itself. Smaller unit size implies an increase in the number of data structures representing the memory (see Section III), as well as in the number of hash table lookups during the compression. We opted to use 512 bytes as area unit size in our experiments, because it is fine grained enough to give reasonable compression and the number of data structures is also acceptable.

### III. SYSTEM DESIGN

In this section we give an overview of the system architecture, describe how similar memory areas are identified and detail some of the design choices we faced during the development of our replication strategy.

The main idea of the algorithm is depicted by Figure 2. Three major steps are executed during every epoch of the replication. After the VM is suspended and the dirty page map is updated, instead of transferring dirty pages directly to the backup machine, we first attempt to find similar memory areas both in the VM’s non-dirty memory region and in the cache of most recent dirty pages. For each area we make an XOR based diff against the best match and compress it with a lightweight method, explained below. Second, the compressed data along with the addresses of the reference areas are transferred to the backup machine asynchronously. Finally, the backup VM applies the uncompressed diffs to the referenced memory areas and updates the dirty regions.

#### A. Finding Similar Memory Areas

There have been several solutions proposed in the literature for finding similar elements in high-dimensional spaces, which may be also considered for application in the context of finding similar memory regions. A short survey regarding some of the possible techniques is presented in Section VI.

Hashing is one of the prevalent approaches, although choosing the right hash function in this case is rather complicated, due to the desire for having similar elements mapped to the same hash value. Notice, that the ultimate purpose of finding a similar memory area is to generate an XOR based difference

that holds zero values on most of its offsets. While many of the existing hashing solutions consider the actual bit sequences of the input vectors, an XOR based diff may result in many zeros already if it is just ensured, that the compared vectors have high bit density on the same offsets.

Driven by this idea, we propose a simple hashing solution that is built upon a pop-count based projection. Figure 3 depicts the hashing mechanism. Regardless the size of the memory area concerned, it is divided into 32 sections where each section corresponds to one bit in the hash (resulting in a 4 bytes long hash value). On each section the number of bits set is calculated and compared against a threshold, which determines whether the corresponding bit in the hash value is set or not. Our current implementation utilizes an empirical value of having 80% of the number of bits set as threshold. Each bit in such projection indicates the density of bits set in the corresponding section of the original memory area, mapping similar memory areas to the same hash value. Since the introduction of SSE4.2 instruction set extension, pop-count is natively supported by the x86 architecture, which makes it computationally very efficient. Pop-count also proved to be sufficient enough throughout our experiments, and while a more rigorous comparison would be desired among existing hashing techniques, such study is outside the scope of this paper.




Fig. 3: Density based hash function.

#### B. Content Addressable Hash Table

Using the pop-count based hash function we built a hash table that can be addressed through two dimensions, address and content. Figure 4 demonstrates the hash table’s arrangement. Each non-zero memory area is represented by a memory descriptor, that holds the memory address of the area and the corresponding content hash value. All descriptors are inserted through both dimensions, where descriptors residing in the same hash bucket of the content hash table refer to memory areas that have likely similar content. Our current implementation uses 18 bits wide hash tables both in address and content dimensions.

Once the dirty memory areas are identified at the end of a replication epoch, the corresponding memory descriptors are removed from the hash table. Memory descriptors that belong to the RDP cache are distinguished and they hold a pointer to the cached data instead of the actual VM memory. Otherwise,

they play the same role with other entries that describe non-dirty memory.




Fig. 4: Content and address based hash table.

The purpose of finding similar areas in the memory content corresponding to the VM's previous replication epoch is to decrease the network traffic required to update the backup machine. Once we obtain the corresponding memory area, the most similar the content hash table could identify, an RLE [12] based compression of the difference is performed.

### C. Copy-On-Write

The merit of asynchronous data transfer during replication is the reduction of VM downtime, i.e., the time while the virtual machine is suspended when replication data is transferred to the backup machine. Instead of waiting until the transfer completes, the regular asynchronous replication first copies all dirty pages into a local buffer, resumes the VM immediately and then transfers the data to the backup host [2]. This way, data transfer overlaps the next epoch's execution phase.

Unfortunately, in our case this solution is not directly applicable, because we need the entire memory content of the VM from the given epoch so that comparison against the non-dirty memory region can be also performed consistently.

In order to prevent extending VM downtime, we modified the virtual machine monitor so that it does copy-on-write (COW) apart dirty page tracking when it is desired. Only during the compression is COW enabled to ensure that the similarity scan accesses memory content which corresponds to the previous epoch. Clearly, COW demands certain amount of extra memory so that the previous value of write accessed pages can be retained. However, because COW is only enabled for a short period of time during each replication epoch, we observed a modest demand for additional memory, up to 20MB in the worst case.

## IV. IMPLEMENTATION

### A. KVM

We chose the Linux Kernel Virtual Machine (KVM) [13] as the platform of this study. KVM takes advantage of the hardware virtualization extensions so that it achieves comparable performance with the underlying physical machine.

The most important components of the KVM infrastructure are the *kvm* kernel module and *qemu-kvm*, a KVM tailored version of QEMU. A major advantage of this architecture is

the full availability of user-space tools in the QEMU process, such as threading, libraries and so on. We make changes to all components in order to enable replication.

### B. Copy-On-Write

On the lowest level, we extended the KVM kernel module to perform copy-on-write when it's requested by *qemu-kvm*. Copy-on-write is a well applied technique in operating systems, particularly for enforcing private access to an otherwise shared memory area among separate address spaces. However, in our case, COW is not as straightforward as it is with regular processes, because the compression threads and the running VM actually share the same address space. When a page is written and COWed, the VM still needs to access the most recent content, while the compression threads should see the previous epoch's value. In order to meet both requirements we remap the old content of the page to another address and maintain a translation table, which is queried by the compression threads to find out whether or not a page has been COWed. Note, that COW pages are recycled in each epoch once the compression is finished.

### C. Compression and I/O Buffering

Most of the replication logic, including the similarity based compression is implemented in *qemu-kvm*, leveraging a great part of the live migration code.

For disk I/O and network buffering we modified the virtio drivers of *qemu-kvm*. The disk I/O buffer behaves also as a hash table that operates on sector granularity so that read requests referring to sectors which are already buffered can be accessed consistently. As for network buffering we maintain an extra packet queue that captures outgoing packets during the execution phase of a replication epoch. Once the backup machine acknowledges the update both disk and network buffers are committed.

## V. EVALUATION

### A. Experimental Framework

Our experiments were conducted on three server nodes, each machine equipped with a 4 cores Intel Xeon 2.2GHz CPU (2 hyperthreads per core), 3 GB of RAM, a 250GB SATA harddrive and two Broadcom NetXtreme II BCM5716 Gigabit Ethernet network interfaces. One of the physical network cards were bridged to the virtual machine and used for application traffic and the other was dedicated to the replication protocol. The host machines run Ubuntu server 9.10 on Linux kernel 2.6.31 and we used *qemu-kvm* 0.12.3 with *kvm-kmod* 2.6.31.6b as the basis of our implementation. For both the Linux and Windows Server 2003 virtual machines we used the KVM virtio disk and network drivers. We do not present performance results on the native host machine, because in virtualized environments direct access to the underlying machines is normally not available. However, we had Intel's hardware MMU virtualization support, i.e. Extended Page Tables (EPT) enabled in all experiments. Each VM had one virtual CPU and 1 GB of RAM allocated with memory ballooning support disabled.




Fig. 5: Average percentage of good and tolerable answers and compression ratio in SPECweb workloads.

### B. SPECweb

The first two applications we investigate are SPECweb’s Banking and E-Commerce workloads. The SPECweb configuration requires at least three machines for running the experiments [7]. One of the server hosts is the actual SPECweb application server, which is accompanied by a backend machine. These were deployed in two VMs residing on two separate physical machines. Besides these, a desktop machine was utilized for running the SPECweb client side scripts.

We replicate only the main SPECweb application server, for which another physical machine was utilized to serve as backup host. We ran three different setups, first we tuned the SPECweb configuration so that 99% of the responses are categorized as *good* when executed on the native VM. Both the regular asynchronous replication and the similarity compressed method were then measured with the same configuration and we compare the average percentage of *good* and *tolerable* responses reported by the SPECweb client script. The replication period is set to 50 milliseconds in these experiments, because SPECweb is network latency sensitive.

Figure 5a compares the results obtained for the Banking workload. SPECweb reports two separate values for each experiment, the ratio of *good* and *tolerable* answers. A closer look at the results reveals that again, the regular asynchronous replication introduces severe performance degradation to SPECweb. The ratio of results marked as *good* dropped below 45% in this case, although 85% were still evaluated as *tolerable*.

When similarity compression with copy-on-write is performed, the ratio of *good* results increased to 88%, yielding a 95% improvement compared to the regular asynchronous replication. As for the ratio of *tolerable* answers, in case of similarity compression, 98% of the results are *tolerable*, which is nearly as good as the performance of the native VM.

Figure 5b illustrates the same comparison for the E-Commerce workload. As previously, the configuration was tuned to achieve 99% of the replies marked as *good* on the native VM. The performance degradation imposed by regular asynchronous replication is not as heavy as in case of the Banking workload and it only drops to 90% and

74%, for *tolerable* and for *good*, respectively. We observed that E-Commerce workload is substantially lighter in terms of memory usage compared to Banking. We believe this implies the lower degradation in performance due to the fact that the overhead’s main factor is the amount of data to be transferred. Our proposed method mitigates this overhead achieving 99% *tolerable* and 92% *good* responses, which, respectively, corresponds to a 10% and 24% improvement over the regular asynchronous replication.

Figure 5c depicts the obtained compression ratio for the Banking workload. We recorded the number of bytes transferred and the compression ratio achieved from which we computed the amount of bytes the regular replication would have had to transfer. The key observations is the fact that the Ethernet bandwidth would be insufficient to keep up with the pace of the produced dirty data in case of the regular replication. As shown, our proposed mechanism attains an average of 20% compression ratio for the Banking workload.

### C. Exchange Server

The results presented so far were all obtained on Ubuntu Linux. In this section we evaluate the performance of our mechanism when applied to Microsoft Exchange Server 2007 deployed over Windows Server 2003. The Microsoft Exchange Server is a messaging system that provides e-mailing, calendars, attachments, contacts, etc. We used the Microsoft Exchange Load Generator utility [11], which simulates the server workload that is generated by interaction of multiple users. This benchmark tool is mainly used for the purpose of server sizing and deployment plan validation, but it also provides a facility for stress-testing server installations.

We ran the Exchange Load Generator on a separate Windows XP client machine. Only the server host was replicated in our experiments and we used the same three setups as we did with SPECweb, native VM, regular asynchronous replication, and similarity compression with copy-on-write. The replication period was calibrated to 50 milliseconds. The Exchange Load Generator was executed three times for 10 minutes under stress-test mode and we report the average number of tasks finished for each setup.




Fig. 6: Microsoft Exchange Load Generator results.

Figure 6a demonstrates the average number of Exchange tasks completed in 10 minutes with respect to the different VM setups. Compared to the native VM’s over 10000 tasks, the achieved performance in case of regular asynchronous replication degrades to as low as 3954. Our proposed mechanism alleviates this degradation finishing approximately 7100 tasks in 10 minutes, which in turn is a 79% performance improvement.

Exchange Load Generator provides detailed information on certain attributes of the executed tasks. We computed the average latency of the most frequent tasks during the experiments. Figure 6b depicts the actual numbers obtained. As seen, when compared to the native VM’s performance, the general tendency is that responses generated during the regular replication have significantly higher latency. On the other hand, latencies for the similarity compression method reside in the interval of the native and the regular replication’s, yielding significant improvements in some cases, such as the *SendMail*, *MakeAppointment*, or the *CreateContact* tasks. A closer look at the numbers reveals that similarity compression, for these particular tasks, attains substantially closer efficiency to the native VM than to the regular asynchronous replication.

Another key observation is that all tasks have higher latency than 50 milliseconds even in case of the native VM, which implies that the main factor of the regular replication overhead is the inability of propagating changes to the backup VM fast enough. We have verified this by running a couple of experiments with 100 milliseconds replication epoch and observed very similar results.

Figure 6c illustrates the compression ratio similarity compression achieves against the regular data transfer. We used the same method to generate this figure as for the previous workloads and we draw similar conclusion. The main source of the attained performance improvement is the fact that the compressed stream can propagate more changes than the regular replication. Our proposed solution maintains an average 26% compression ratio for the Exchange Server workload.

#### D. CPU and Memory Consumption

Previously, we showed how replication of various workloads benefits from our proposed compression technique. Clearly, performing such activity in every 50/100 milliseconds requires additional resources on the primary VM’s host machine. In this Section we turn our attention to evaluate the price of the compression in terms of CPU and memory consumption.

There are several sources for additional memory demand when performing VM replication. Disk I/O buffering and network packet capturing both allocate extra chunks of memory. We logged two attributes of the block cache, the frequency how often disk I/O was involved in replication data, and the number of sectors dirtied when disk I/O occurred. The replication epoch was set to 100 milliseconds and the block sector size was 512 bytes.

Table I illustrates the obtained results for all workloads. The first column shows the percentage of replication epochs when block I/O was involved, the second and third columns show the maximum and the average size of the I/O buffer per epoch during the experiment. As seen, block I/O varies significantly according to the workload considered. Across all workloads the average amount of memory consumed as block cache scales from 160kB to 265kB, reaching 4.7MB in the worst case. Table I also shows the average and the maximum size of the content hash table. It reveals that the memory allocated for the content descriptors scales from 21MB to 50MB, and from 22MB to 51MB, as average, and maximum, respectively. Moreover, we maintain an LRU cache of 5120 pages that allocates another 20MBs. Overall the memory consumption of the content hash scaled from 38MB up to 70MB in our experiments, which we think is acceptable for a 1GB virtual machine.

We used the *atop* [14] utility for logging CPU consumption of *qemu-kvm* during the experiments both with and without replication enabled. To assess the replication overhead in terms of CPU consumption, we computed the average CPU usage in both cases and report the difference between the replication enabled and the native VM cases. The last column of Table

TABLE I: Replication resource consumption.

Workload	I/O Freq.	Max. size of disk I/O buff	Avg. size of disk I/O buff	Max. size of content hash	Avg. size of content hash	CPU overhead
SPECweb Banking	6%	850kB	280kB	24MB	23MB	134%
SPECweb E-commerce	6%	352kB	160kB	22MB	21MB	132%
Microsoft Exchange	70%	4.6MB	265kB	52MB	50MB	148%

I indicates the obtained results, note that 100% corresponds to one CPU core here. As seen, the additional CPU power required is about 135%, which we believe is a reasonable price for high availability, especially with the ever growing core number of recent CPU architectures.

## VI. RELATED WORK

**Similar Elements in High Dimensional Spaces.** Finding similar regions to dirty memory areas is essentially a similarity search in a high dimensional space. Previous works have yielded several approaches for finding similar elements in high-dimensional spaces. Solutions, such as K-clustering [15] or R-trees [16] could provide very accurate results, however, due to their computational complexity they cannot be applied in the scenario of VM replication.

Another prevalent approach is hashing, although, one-way hash functions such as MD5 or SHA-1 are not feasible, because by definition, they map elements that are close in the input space to different hash values. To overcome this problem, local-sensitive hash functions [17] have been proposed in the literature, but none of them turned out to be efficient enough in our case. In the context of network filesystems, LBFS [18] suggested combining SHA-1 with Rabin fingerprints in order to locate identical areas on different offsets within files. However, we are aiming at finding similar areas, not only identical ones. In this paper we proposed a pop-count based hash function, which is computationally less expensive and extracts information based on bit density.

**Memory Content Similarity and Deduplication.** Content similarity in memory has been also investigated in the literature. *VMware ESX Server* [8] and *Satori* [9] introduced techniques for better utilizing the physical memory in virtualized systems by eliminating duplicate memory content across and within virtual machine instances. Identical pages are detected and deduplicated into one single read-only page. Copy-on-write is then utilized to ensure consistency in case the page is modified. *Difference Engine* [19] aims at the same goal, but it leverages sub-page level page sharing and memory compression to further improve memory efficiency. Koller et al. [10] proposed I/O deduplication, a mechanism that utilizes content similarity for improving I/O performance by eliminating I/O operations and reducing the mechanical delays during I/O operations. Of these, *Difference Engine* and I/O deduplication have apparent similarities to our work because they both utilize a content based hash table to find similar content in the memory. However, our hashing mechanism and sharing granularity is different than those proposed in the above papers.

**Virtual Machine Migration.** Checkpoint-recovery based fault tolerance captures snapshots of the running VM at high frequency, often leveraging the live migration support of the underlying Virtual Machine Monitor (VMM). Thus, VM live migration is closely related to checkpoint-recovery based replication. Solutions, such as *Xen* [20], *KVM* [13], and *VMware's VMotion* [21] all provide the capability of live migrating VM instances. Pre-copy is the dominant approach to live VM migration [20], [21]. It initially transfers all memory pages then tracks and transfers dirty pages in subsequent iterations. When the amount of data transferred becomes small or the maximum number of iteration reached, the VM is suspended and finally, the remaining dirty pages and the VCPU context is moved to the destination machine. VM replication, on the other hand, leaves the VM running in pre-copy mode at all times so that dirty pages are logged and the entire execution state can be reflected to the backup node at the end of each replication epoch [2], [3]. In parallel with our work a recent paper proposed a technique similar to ours, where content similarity is exploited in the context of VM live migration [12]. However, their proposed technique for identifying similar memory pages is different than ours, furthermore, VM replication involves various different technical issues, which distinguishes our work from this study.

**Virtual Machine Replication.** Bressoud and Schneider [22] introduced first the idea of hypervisor-based fault tolerance by executing the primary and the backup VMs in lockstep mode, i.e., logging all input and non-deterministic events of the primary machine and having them deterministically replayed on the backup node in case of failure. While Bressoud and Schneider demonstrated this technique only for the HP PA-RISC processors VMware's recent work implements the same approach for x86 architecture [23]. Deterministic-replay, however, imposes strict restrictions on the underlying architecture and its adaption to multi-core CPU environment is cumbersome, because it requires determining and reproducing the exact order in which CPU cores access the shared memory.

Checkpoint-recovery based solutions such as *Remus* [2] and *Paratus* [3] overcome the problem of multi-core execution by capturing the entire executions state of the VM and transferring it to the backup machine. Although most of the data transfer can be overlapped with speculative execution, transferring updates to the backup machine at very high frequency still comes with great performance overhead. *Kemari* [24] follows a similar approach to *Remus*, but instead of buffering output during speculative execution, it updates the backup machine each time before the VM omits an outside visible event.

Improving the performance of checkpoint-recovery based VM replication has become an active research area recently. Lu et al. [4] proposed fine-grained dirty region identification to reduce the amount of data transferred during each replication epoch, while Zhu et al. [5] improved the performance of log-dirty execution mode by reducing read- and predicting write-page faults. In this paper we also focus on reducing the amount of data transferred during each replication epoch, although we utilize content similarity instead of fine-grained dirty region identification.

## VII. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

In this paper we have first presented a quantitative analysis of various workloads in terms of content similarity in their memory image. For all workloads we investigated, we have found that the degree of overlapping bytes between dirty data and the previous epoch's memory content is high, about 80% when the comparison granularity is 512 bytes.

We have proposed a novel compression method to alleviate VM replication overhead by exploiting such similarities. Our mechanism uses a content addressable hash table to identify similar memory areas to the dirty content in memory region corresponding to the previous replication epoch and expresses the changes with a compressed difference.

The proposed compression method can reduce network traffic by up to 80%, thus, propagating changes faster and yielding a performance improvement of over 90% for certain latency sensitive applications when compared to the regular asynchronous replication. We have also showed that the compression comes with modest resource consumption, it requires up to 70MB extra memory when it is applied to a 1GB VM and utilizes a little more than an extra CPU core for computation.

One of the merits of checkpoint-recovery based replication is its inherent capability of handling symmetric multiprocessing (i.e. multiprocessor) virtual machines. Checkpoints cover the entire execution state of the VM, including any non-determinism that arises due to concurrent access of shared memory in case of SMP configurations. Since wide-spread usage of SMP virtual machines is highly anticipated [25], in the future we intend to evaluate the scalability of our approach over VMs with multiple virtual CPUs.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work has been supported by the CREST project of the Japan Science and Technology Agency (JST).

## REFERENCES

- [1] K. V. Vishwanath and N. Nagappan, "Characterizing cloud computing hardware reliability," in *Proceedings of the 1st ACM symposium on Cloud computing*, ser. SoCC '10. New York, NY, USA: ACM, 2010, pp. 193–204.
- [2] B. Cully, G. Lefebvre, D. Meyer, M. Feeley, N. Hutchinson, and A. Warfield, "Remus: high availability via asynchronous virtual machine replication," in *Proceedings of the 5th USENIX Symposium on Networked Systems Design and Implementation*, ser. NSDI'08, 2008, pp. 161–174.
- [3] Y. Du and H. Yu, "Paratus: Instantaneous Failover via Virtual Machine Replication," in *Proceedings of the 2009 Eighth International Conference on Grid and Cooperative Computing*, ser. GCC '09. IEEE Computer Society, 2009, pp. 307–312.
- [4] M. Lu and T. cker Chiueh, "Fast memory state synchronization for virtualization-based fault tolerance," in *Dependable Systems Networks, 2009. DSN '09. IEEE/IFIP International Conference on*, 2009, pp. 534–543.
- [5] J. Zhu, W. Dong, Z. Jiang, X. Shi, Z. Xiao, and X. Li, "Improving the Performance of Hypervisor-based Fault Tolerance," in *Parallel Distributed Processing (IPDPS), 2010 IEEE International Symposium on*, 2010, pp. 1–10.
- [6] Strom, R.E. and Bacon, D.F. and Yemini, S.A., "Volatile logging in n-fault-tolerant distributed systems," in *Fault-Tolerant Computing, Eighteenth International Symposium on*, Jun 1988, pp. 44–49.
- [7] R. Hariharan and N. Sun, "Workload Characterization of SPECweb2005," [http://www.spec.org/workshops/2006/papers/02\\_Workload\\_char\\_SPECweb2005\\_Final.pdf](http://www.spec.org/workshops/2006/papers/02_Workload_char_SPECweb2005_Final.pdf), 2006.
- [8] C. A. Waldspurger, "Memory resource management in VMware ESX server," *SIGOPS Oper. Syst. Rev.*, vol. 36, pp. 181–194, December 2002.
- [9] G. Mihós, D. G. Murray, S. Hand, and M. A. Fetterman, "Satori: enlightened page sharing," in *Proceedings of the 2009 conference on USENIX Annual technical conference*, ser. USENIX'09. USENIX Association, 2009.
- [10] R. Koller and R. Rangaswami, "I/O Deduplication: Utilizing content similarity to improve I/O performance," *Trans. Storage*, vol. 6, pp. 13:1–13:26, 2010.
- [11] Microsoft Corporation, "Microsoft Exchange Load Generator," <http://www.msexchange.org/articles/Microsoft-Exchange-Load-Generator.html>, 2007.
- [12] X. Zhang, Z. Huo, J. Ma, and D. Meng, "Exploiting Data Deduplication to Accelerate Live Virtual Machine Migration," in *Cluster Computing (CLUSTER), 2010 IEEE International Conference on*, 2010, pp. 88–96.
- [13] A. Kivity, Y. Kamay, D. Laor, U. Lublin, and A. Liguori, "kvm: the Linux virtual machine monitor," in *Ottawa Linux Symposium*, July 2007, pp. 225–230. [Online]. Available: <http://www.kernel.org/doc/ols/2007/ols2007v1-pages-225-230.pdf>
- [14] "ATOP System & Process Monitor," <http://www.atcomputing.nl/Tools/atop>, 2010.
- [15] J. A. Hartigan, *Clustering Algorithms*, 99th ed. New York, NY, USA: John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 1975.
- [16] A. Guttman, "R-trees: A dynamic index structure for spatial searching," in *Proceedings of the 1984 ACM SIGMOD international conference on Management of data*, ser. SIGMOD '84. ACM, 1984, pp. 47–57.
- [17] Q. Lv, W. Josephson, Z. Wang, M. Charikar, and K. Li, "Multi-probe LSH: efficient indexing for high-dimensional similarity search," in *Proceedings of the 33rd international conference on Very large data bases*, ser. VLDB '07, 2007, pp. 950–961.
- [18] A. Muthitacharoen, B. Chen, and D. Mazières, "A low-bandwidth network file system," in *Proceedings of the eighteenth ACM symposium on Operating systems principles*, ser. SOSP '01. New York, NY, USA: ACM, 2001, pp. 174–187.
- [19] D. Gupta, S. Lee, M. Vrable, S. Savage, A. C. Snoeren, G. Varghese, G. M. Voelker, and A. Vahdat, "Difference Engine: Harnessing Memory Redundancy in Virtual Machines," *Commun. ACM*, vol. 53, pp. 85–93, October 2010.
- [20] C. Clark, K. Fraser, S. Hand, J. G. Hansen, E. Jul, C. Limpach, I. Pratt, and A. Warfield, "Live Migration of Virtual Machines," in *NSDI'05: Proceedings of the 2nd conference on Symposium on Networked Systems Design & Implementation*. Berkeley, CA, USA: USENIX Association, 2005, pp. 273–286.
- [21] M. Nelson, B. H. Lim, and G. Hutchins, "Fast transparent migration for virtual machines," in *ATEC '05: Proceedings of the annual conference on USENIX Annual Technical Conference*. Berkeley, CA, USA: USENIX Association, 2005, p. 25. [Online]. Available: <http://portal.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=1247360.1247385>
- [22] T. Bressoud and F. B. Schneider, "Hypervisor-based fault tolerance," in *Proceedings of the fifteenth ACM symposium on Operating systems principles*, ser. SOSP '95. New York, NY, USA: ACM, 1995, pp. 1–11.
- [23] D. J. Scales, M. Nelson, and G. Venkitachalam, "The design of a practical system for fault-tolerant virtual machines," *SIGOPS Oper. Syst. Rev.*, vol. 44, pp. 30–39, December 2010.
- [24] Y. Tamura, "Kemari: Virtual Machine Synchronization for Fault Tolerance using DomT," NTT Cyber Space Labs, Technical Report, 2008.
- [25] R. McDougall and J. Anderson, "Virtualization performance: perspectives and challenges ahead," *SIGOPS Oper. Syst. Rev.*, vol. 44, pp. 40–56, December 2010.